

1  
2                   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
3                   **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
4

5                   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
6

7                   Plaintiff,

8                   v.  
9                   ANTHONY BRANDEL, *et al.*,

10                  Defendants.

11                  Case No. 2:13-CR-00439-KJD-VCF

12                  **ORDER**

13                  Presently before the Court is Defendants' Motion in Limine (#137). Plaintiff filed a response  
14                  in opposition (#155) to which Defendants responded (#158).

15                  Defendants move to exclude evidence of H-series Brazilian bonds which Plaintiff alleges had  
16                  no monetary value at the time they were issued and thus, could not generate proceeds. Defendants'  
17                  basis for the motion is that Plaintiff has not noticed an expert "to explain to the jury the basis of the  
18                  government's claims regarding the value" of the bonds.

19                  Having read and considered the present motion, the Court finds that this evidence does not  
20                  necessitate the use of an expert and is therefore admissible. Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** the  
21                  motion (#137).

22                  **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23                  DATED this 5th day of November 2015.

24                    
25                  

---

  
26                  Kent J. Dawson  
                    United States District Judge